IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HARRISON DIVISION

DOMINIC MICHAEL BATTISTA

PLAINTIFF

v.

NO. 15-3092

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner Social Security Administration

DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, Dominic Michael Battista, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (Commissioner) denying his application for a period of disability and disability insurance benefits (DIB). (Doc. 1). The Defendant filed an Answer to Plaintiff's action on December 18, 2015, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence and were conclusive. (Doc. 8). Plaintiff filed an appeal brief on January 19, 2016. (Docs. 9, 10).

On February 18, 2016, the Commissioner, having changed positions, filed an unopposed motion requesting that Plaintiff's case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g) in order to conduct further administrative proceedings. (Doc. 11).

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency.

Case 3:15-cv-03092-ELW Document 12 Filed 03/03/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 59

The fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the

pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the

decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a

rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993).

Here, the Court finds remand for the purpose of the ALJ to further evaluate the evidence

as addressed above appropriate.

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds remand appropriate and grants the

Commissioner's unopposed motion to remand this case to the Commissioner for further

administrative action pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g).

DATED this 3rd day of March, 2016.

<u>|s| Erin L. Setser</u>

HON. ERIN L. SETSER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2